



Improving the graphene quality for the integration in silicon-based semiconductor devices

Pierluigi Mondelli



Graphene Properties

Graphene is a very promising material in a wide range of engineering field: mechanics, optoelectronics and electronics.

- Specific surface area 2600 m²/g
- Yield stress 130 GPa
- Thermal conductivity 5300 W/m·K

- Absorbance 2,3 %
- Transmittance 97,7 %
- <u>Carrier mobility 2.10⁵ cm² /V.s</u>
 <u>(100 times better than silicon)</u>

Graphene integration in a MOSFET would imply:



1) Reducing the dimensions of the device

2) Improving the signal trasfer efficiency in the connections

Graphene Properties



Graphene Synthesis



- * Limited control over dimensions
- Further purification is needed
- Transfer to desired substrate
- No mass production process
- * High production costs

Thin-Film SiC graphitization in UHV



Graphitization of a thin-film 3C-SiC\Si in UHV



Graphitization of a thin-film 3C-SiC\Si in UHV T~950 °C for 10 min





B.Gupta et al. Carbon 68 (2014)

Graphitization of a thin-film 3C-SiC\Si in UHV T~950 °C for 10 min; T~1250°C for 10 min Si





B.Gupta et al. Carbon 68 (2014)



Graphitization of a thin-film 3C-SiC\Si in UHV T~950 °C for 10 min; T~1250°C for 10 min Si IIII Si(111) Si(111

Graphitization of a thin-film 3C-SiC\Si in UHV T~950 °C for 10 min; T~1250°C for 10 min Si times acsic (111) Si(111) Si(111) Signature of the second second

Property	4H-SiC	6H-SiC	3C-SiC	Si
Thermal conductivity (W/cm-K)	4.9	4.9	3.2	1.5
Lattice constant (a, c in Å)	a=3.0730	a=3.0806	a=4.3596	a=5.43095

The cubic politype is the only one compatible with Si lattice

Graphitization Issues

Lattice defects



Improvements with:

Graphitization in Ar Atmosphere at 2000° C

- Melting point of silicon is 1400° C
- Unfeasibile integration

Graphitization of 3C-SiC thin-film polished in UHV

----> Monoatomic hydrogen etching of polished 3C-SiC thin-film

Forti, S., et al. Physical Review B 84.12 (2011): 125449; B.Gupta et al. Carbon 68 (2014); A. Sandin et al. Surf. Sci 611 (2013)

Pits and steps



Resistivity dependence





XPS: C1s Core Level Analysis

Full UHV growth process on CMP samples



	Position (eV)	FWHM (eV)	Asym.	Area (%)
SiC	283.3 ± 0.5	1.1 eV	0.00	43
G	284.4 ± 0.5	1.5 eV	0.15	44
В	285.6 ± 0.5	1.6 eV	0.06	13





- Energy position of every peak are in good agreement with previous experiments performed on the similar substrates [1][2]
- The asymmetric character of the peaks related to both graphene and buffer layer can be attributed to its conductive/semiconductive nature [3]
- Through the attenuation of the signal intensity arising from the SiC, it is possible to extimate the number of layers: ~2.8

A. Ouerghi et al. App. Phys. Lett 96 191910 (2010); D. Pacilè et al. PRB 90, 195446 (2014)

LEED Pattern

Long-range order of both SiC and Graphene domains



ARPES Spectrum

Valence band acquired at T = 77 K



STM Images



• Graphene's domains on CMP samples are wider

STM Images



- Significant step-growth
- SiC bilayer width is 2.5 Å, step height up to 4
 SiC bilayers

B. Gupta, I. di Bernardo, P. Mondelli et al. Nanotechnology 27 vol 18 (2016)



* Pits up to ~2 nm thick

Monoatomic H-etching of 3C-SiC CMP

EFM-H Omicron Evaporator



The evaporator provides the required energy in order to ionize an H₂ gas passing through the hot filament. Hydrogen atoms reacts more easily with the Si ones on the substrate surface, leading to a better nucleation of the buffer layer

- I. Sample cleaning procedure
- II. Monoatomic hydrogen etching at 1000° C, P = $7.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ mbar
- III. Annealing for 10 minutes at 1250° C

XPS: C1s Core Level Analysis

Hydrogenated graphene





	Position (eV)	FWHM (eV)	Asym.	Area (%)
SiC	283.4 ± 0.5	1.1 eV	0.00	38
G	284.6 ± 0.5	1.5 eV	0.2	48
В	285.7 ± 0.5	1.6 eV	0.1	14

- Lineshape and energy position of each peak are similar to those observed for the full UHV process on CMP samples.
- Through the attenuation of the signal intensity arising from the SiC, it is possible to extimate the number of layers: ~3.2

B.Gupta et al. Carbon 68 (2014) ; A. Ouerghi et al. App. Phys. Lett 96 191910 (2010); D. Pacilè et al. PRB 90, 195446 (2014)

Raman Shift Analysis



Band	Position (cm-1)	FWHM (cm-1)	Area (Arb. Un)
D	1359.3	55.9	4242
G	1594.4	66.7	3426
2D	2703.4	88.0	3422
D+G	2946.8	100.0	871

- The position of the G-band, blueshifted as compared to micromechanicallycleaved graphene, is in very good agreement with previous experiments performed on SiC substrates [2-4].
- The 2D-band width is heavily influenced by defects and strain induced by the substrate [5-6]
- The present Raman spectrum on graphene/thin-film 3C-SiC on Si, confirms the formation of good quality graphene, comparable to that achieved on bulk SiC[1]

Nano letters 2008, 8, 4320-4325; App. Phys. Lett. 2008, 92, 201918; Phys. Rev. Lett. 2008, 101, 156801; Nano letters 2009, 9, 964-968; Nano letters 2009, 9, 2873-2876

STM Images

Hydrogenated



Un-treated



- Domains ~10 times broader
- Complete suppression of pit-formation process

STM Images

Hydrogenated **Un-treated** 25 nm 25 nm 1.0 1.0 hanger of the second 0.8z (nm) z (nm) 0.8 0.6 AND. 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.2 20 40 60 80 0 100 20 40 60 80 100 0 x (nm) x (nm) Minimum step height for SiC substrates (2.5Å)

Conclusions

- Identification of a graphene growth process (UHV SiC graphitization) compatible with industrial relevant substrates (silicon-based)
- Performing it using **thin-film polished sample** it was found that:
 - Electronic and structural properties typical of graphene
 - ✓ No clusters observed
 - V Broader domain dimension
 - Pits and high density of steps are still detected
- Introduction of a pristine step performed in monoatomic hydrogen atmosphere (<u>hydrogen etching</u>) so that:
 - ✓ No pit detected
 - Increasing the domain dimension by a factor of 10
 - Remarkable reduction of the step height

All of these results are a step towards the recovery of the free-standing graphene properties on a bottom-up process

Acknowledgements





Queensland University of Technology

LoTUS Laboratory, Physics Department, University of Rome "La Sapienza":

- Ms. Giulia Avvisati
- Ms. Iolanda di Bernardo
- Dr. Simone Lisi
- Dr. Ada della Pia
- Prof. Carlo Mariani
- Prof. M.G. Betti

"CARF" Laboratory, Science and Engineering Faculty, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane Australia:

- Dr. Bharati Gupta
- Dr. Josh Lipton-Duffin
- Prof. Nunzio Motta